

Best of SABCS 2020: Basic and translational discoveries

Prof. Christine Desmedt

Laboratory for Translational Breast Cancer Research, Department of Oncology, KU Leuven





Disclaimer

- I had to do a selection- not possible to present everything.
- This is an overview, for more details I invite you to see the original presentations and posters.

Outline

- Prognostic/predictive markers
- Lobular breast cancer
- Genomic testing in plasma
- Studying, monitoring and treating disease progession
- Models (PDTX & PDTOs)
- Ressources to advance research

Prognostic/predictive markers

Li (GS1-05): ESR1 mutations to facilitate metastases



- ESR1 mutations mainly found in distant metastases, known to be associated with endocrine resistance (mainly to aromatase inhibitors).
- 2. Various *ESR1* mutant cells models show increased cell-cell adhesive properties.
- 3. Y537S *ESR1* mutant cells form **enlarged CTC cluters** in vivo and promote distant metastasis.
- **4. CTC clusters** are significantly enriched in *ESR1* mutant breast cancer patients.

Ccl: Novel role highlighted for ESR1 mutations beyond endocrine resistance.

Servetto (GS1-06): FGFR1 & endocrine resistance



- FGFR1 amplification is present in ~15% ER+ BC.
- FGFR1 amplification → nuclear expression of FGFR1.
- Nuclear FGFR1 occupies chromatin at promoter regions of thousands of genes
 → direct modulation of gene transcription in ER+ BC.
- Need to develop treatment strategies to inhibit nuclear FGFR1 in ER+/FGFR1 overexpressing BC.

Lee (GS1-08): MYC & immune infiltrates

Patient tumors with a high MYC signature have low T-cell activity



- High MYC expression → low sTILs and low T-cell cytokine signaling
- MYC also downregulates MHC-I in vivo

Higher MYC Signature = less immune cell infiltration



Ccl: TNBC tumors with MYC amplified/overexpressed tumors might require additional therapies to stimulate the immune system.

Bild (ES3-01): resistance mechanisms in early HR+ BC pts treated with endocrine & CDK inhibitors



FELINE trial (NCT02712723):

Letrozole Plus Ribociclib or Placebo as Neo-adjuvant Therapy in ERpositive, HER2-negative Early Breast Cancer.

No ≠ in primary endpoint (PEPI score) ~25% of PEPI 0 @ surgery with and without ribociclib*.

Single-nuclei RNA sequencing On samples taken at 3 timepoints (d0, d14 and d180)

*DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.505

N=45 patients (~15/arm, and half resistant)



Letrozole alone arm: Insufficient blocking of estrogen

signaling in resistant patients.

Letrozole + ribo arms:

Diminished ER-pathway activity accompanied by a transition from luminal-like to basal-like in resistant patients.

Each hatched line represents a unique patient single cell's pathway average



Proliferative state:

Resistant tumors in combination arms have a higher proportion of proliferative cells

Increase in JNK pathway signaling: At day 14 in the resistant tumors of the combination arms.

Ccl: 1) excellent **model to study resistance mechanisms** (preoperative setting, molecular analyses @ different time points) even when clinical endpoints are not met; **2) new resistance mechanisms** unraveled to be validated.

Lobular breast cancer

Jeselsohn (ES9-02): FOXA1 & lobular breast cancer



FOXA1 is one of the key regulators of the ER-dependent transcriptional program¹.

FOXA1 mutations are more frequent in ILC compared to IDC→ increased FOXA1 expression & chromatin binding²

FOXA1 mutations are associated with resistance to endocrine treatment³.

1. Liu et al. Cell 2014, 2. Ciriello et al. Cell 2015 & Desmedt et al. JCO 2016, 3. Arruabarrena-Aristorena et al. Cancer Cell 2020

Jeselsohn (ES9-02): FOXA1 & lobular breast cancer



New mechanims for auto-upregulation FOXA1 expression

Experiments in ILC models, FOXA1 expression:
Increase ER expression
Unique landscape of chromatin accessible sites →
different ER-regulated transcriptional program

FOXA1-associated transcriptional program:

- Associated with worse prognosis in ER+ BC (still to be shown in ILC)
- Associated with resistance to tamoxifen

Ccl: 1) new insights about ILC/IDC differences in ER-transcriptional program ; **2)** more research needed to indivudualize endocrine therapy according to histological subtype.



Sokol (PD8-01): Genomics according to metastatic sites.

- Combined analysis of **1,909 metastases** sequenced by Foundation Medicine (all ILC considered, not only ER+): 154 GI mets 639 liver mets 114 mets reproductive organs 268 bone mets 199 skin mets
- Alterations in *PIK3CA* common in all ILC mets → broad utility for PIK3CA inhibitors.
- ERBB2 mutations most prevalent in ILC liver mets.
- *RB1* mutations highest in gastrointestinal and skin sites

Ccl: 1) largest analysis of ILC metastases done so far, **2)** incidence therapeutic targets/ markers of resistance might differ according to site.

Genomic testing in plasma

Magbanua (PD9-02): personalized ctDNA monitoring in I-SPY2



138 patients with high-risk HR+/HER2-(n=77) or TN (n=61) stage II/III EBC.

Blood collected at 4 time points and patient specific mutations tested.



- Decrease in ctDNA positivity over time
- pCR rate the highest in patients with early ctDNA clearance (ctDNA+/-/-: 50% pCR), followed by late ctDNA clearance (ctDNA+/+/-: 19% pCR) and the lowest in patients with lack of ctDNA clearance (ctDNA+/+/+: 7% pCR)

Residual ctDNA after NAC treatment is a significant predictor of metastatic recurrence and death.

Ccl: Personalized monitoring of ctDNA during NAC is feasible and provides information that can be combined with imaging and pathology.

6

2

0

0

Liu (PD9-12): concordance tissue & ctDNA



Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of Cohort

		Count (n=226)	Percent
Age at xT test	Median (sd)	57 (11.74)	
Sex	Female	224	99.12%
	Male	2	0.88%
Self-reported race	White	113	50.00%
	Black or African American	29	12.83%
	Asian	8	3.54%
	American Indian or Alaska	1	0.44%
	Other Race	7	3.09%
	Not Reported	68	30.09%
Stage	Stage 4	226	100.00%
Sample type	Primary breast	59	25.66%
	Metastatic lesion	167	74.34%
Subtype	HR+, HER2-	95	42.03%
	TNBC	29	12.83%
	Other*	102	45.13%
Days between	> 90 days	156	55.32%
xT and xF tests	31 – 90 days	35	12.41%
	0 – 30 days	91	32.27%

Aim= to evaluate concordance between tissue and cfDNA in paired metastatic breast cancer biopsies

*Other subtypes included those with less than 15 samples, such as HR+/HER2+and HR-/HER2+, and samples in which receptor status was unclear or conflicting.





- **Limited concordance** between tissue and liquid biopsy, with mutations detected only in tissue or in liquid sample (sensitivity assay & intra-patient heterogeneity).
- Concordance is dependent of time interval between tissue and liquid biopsy: 50% concordant when tests were performed within 90 days of each other, less if >90 days.
 - Authors suggest concurrent tissue and liquid biopsy genomic testing at time of diagnosis to provide the most comprehensive genomic characterization.

Ciruelos (PD2-06): PIK3CA testing PCR vs NGS, plasma vs tissue in SOLAR-1 trial



- Initial *PIK3CA* mutation testing= 11 specific mutations in exons 7, 9, and 20 by PCR in archived or fresh tumor tissue.
- PFS in PIK3CA mutated population: hazard ratio [HR] 0.65; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.50-0.85; 1-sided P=0.00065 (primary endpoint)
- the full exonic region of *PIK3CA* gene was sequenced in tumor tissue (FoundationOne® CDx 324-gene panel) and plasma ctDNA (FoundationOne® Liquid CDx 311gene panel).
- → report about concordance



Ccl: When no *PIK3CA* alteration is detected by ctDNA liquid biopsy, a tissue test should be performed to confirm the *PIK3CA* status of the tumor. Summary MV. Dieci (SPD02)

Studying, monitoring and targeting disease progression

Janni (GS4-08): CTCs as monitoring tool in patients with advanced BC



- CTCs have been shown to be prognostic in metastatic BC.
- Here, pooled analysis of CTC enumeration in 4,079 patients

Change in CTC status from baseline to first follow up



CTC change group (cutoff for CTC positivity: ≥ 1 CTC) CTC neg / CTC neg CTC neg / CTC pos

CTC pos / CTC neg CTC pos / CTC pos

Time from baseline CTC assessment to first follow up CTC assessment: Median: 29 days Interguartile range: 26 – 54 days



Ccl: 1) clinical validation of CTC monitoring; 2) after a median of 29 days, follow-up CTC enumeration predicts OS, 3) early treatment monitoring seems to work in all BC subtypes.

Chodosh (ES3-03): Identifying, characterizing and targeting dormant cancer cells

~1/3 of the patients!



Braun et al. NEJM 2005



Figure 1: PENN-SURMOUNT Screening Protocol Schema (NCT 02732171)





adjudication

151 pts enrolled

- All screened @baseline & 46 pts returned for at least 1 annual rescreen
- Cumulative DTC positivity rate
 32.5% (49/151)
- 98% of DTC+ pts have enrolled on the CLEVER trial

More info in PD9-11



Clever trial: A Phase II Pilot Trial of HydroxyChLoroquine, EVErolimus or the Combination for Prevention of Recurrent Breast Cancer (PI= A. De Michele, NCT03032406)



HCQ=Hydroxychloroquine; EVE = Everolimus

- 60 pts to be enrolled
- This and other similar larger trials (PALAVY and ABBY) will or not demonstrate potential clinical utility of intervention in surveillance period.

Ccl: 1) experimental studies identified vulnerabilities of DTCs that are now being tested in clinical trials; 2) intervention is feasible in observation period, results of the trials will tell us whether the strategy should be expanded.

Models (PDTX & PDTOs)

PDTX: Patient-derived tumor xenograft PDO: Patient-derived organoid PDXO: PDX-derived organoid



Advantages PDTX:

- Work with fragments of tumors instead of isolated cells
- Reflect heterogeneity of tumour.
- 3D architechture

Limitations PDTX:

- Grown in mice lacking fct immune system
- Time
- Cost!
- Failures to 'take'

Illustration from Crownbio.com, see excellent discussion by S. Goel (SPD7-01)

Vaklavas & Boughey (PD7-02): PDTX 'take' to predict the prognosis of patients



Ccl: 1) confirmation of the seminal study of De Rose Nat Med 2011; 2) still a long way to go to see whether it could be used to refine prognosis...

Rosenbluth (PD7-01 & PD7-04): PDOs to investigate drug response/resistance mechanisms



Ccl: Excellent (but very costly) setting to investigate drug response/resistance mechanisms

Behbod (PD5-09): PDTX models for DCIS using the MIND technique



- Histologic features are conserved.
- **Biomarker expression is conserved,** including ER, PR, HER2, p53 and Ki67.
- Similar genomic profile.
- After 9 months, **50% DCIS evolved to invasive BC**.

Ccl: MIND models are valuable resources for the experimental analysis of DCIS progression

Ressources to advance breast cancer research



Strand (PD5-8): precancer atlas

- Two independent patient cohorts of DCIS (1981 to 2014), cases matched to controls.
- Integrated multi-omic analysis of DCIS and associated tumor microenvironment to generate a spatially resolved atlas of breast precancers
- The most enriched pathways for genes upregulated in IBC vs. DCIS epithelium were related to **extracellular matrix and immune system regulation**
- Specific immune cell types are associated with differences in case and control status including CD8 T cells, macrophages, and NK T cells

Wagle (OT-18-01): The Metastatic Breast Cancer Project (mbcproject.org)



Ccl: 1) Patient-driven initiative that started in 2015; 2) shared omics and clinical data with the research community (cbioportal.org).



Thank you for your attention!

christine.desmedt@kuleuven.be



